UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,339	12/31/2003	Larry Augsburger	11478-014-999 9882	
20583 JONES DAY	7590 11/09/200	7	EXAM	INER
222 EAST 41S	222 EAST 41ST ST SILVERMAN, ERIC			AN, ERIC E
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1615	!
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•	
	10/749,339	AUGSBURGER E	ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric E. Silverman	PhD 1615		
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisic after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THIS CO ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howern nunication. statutory period will apply and will expire Soly will, by statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. ver, may a reply be timely filed IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•	
Status				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) f 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 3) □ Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b) ☐ This action is non-finanger for allowance except for for	mal matters, prosecution as to the	e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-38</u> is/5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest	are withdrawn from considera		·	
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected	e: a) accepted or b) objection to the drawing(s) be held no the correction is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(PTO-948) 5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:		

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' response, filed 10/25/2007, is acknowledged. Claims 1 – 38 are pending, and claims 12 – 38 are withdrawn. Claims 1 – 11 are considered on the merits below.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 – 11 **remain** rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16 – 30 of U.S. Patent No. 5,780,055 to Habib et al for reasons of record and those discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1615

Claims 1 – 3 and 5 – 11 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,780,055 to Habib et al for reasons of record and those discussed below.

Claims 1 – 10 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 6,254,891 to Aneabonam et al for reasons of record and those discussed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,780,055 to Habib et al for reasons of record and those discussed below.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the cited prior art teaches separate cushioning beads and active-agent containing beads, but does not teach beads that have both cushioning agent and active-agent. In reply, it is noted that the claims do not require beads having both cushioning agent and active agent. The pertinent part of claim 1 states that the cushioning component comprises "... active-loaded particles; wherein the placebo cushioning component and the active-loaded particles are admixed to form an admixture..." This is understood to mean that the active loaded particles are mixed with a placebo cushioning component, which is the material of part (a) of the claim. The

Art Unit: 1615

claim includes, but does not require, an embodiment where the active loaded particles contain both active agent and placebo cushioning component. The only material that must be present in the active loaded particle, according to the claim, is an active agent. Applicants' arguments appear to be based on an overly narrow interpretation of claim 1, and thus are not persuasive.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric E. Silverman, PhD whose telephone number is 571 272 5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571 272 8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric E. Silverman, PhD Art Unit 1615 MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600